Global existence for some configurations of nearly parallel vortex filaments

> Valeria Banica - Univ. d'Evry joint work with Evelyne Miot - Univ. Paris XI

> > Vienna 04/2011

A model for nearly parallel vortex filaments

In a 3D homogeneous incompressible fluid a vortex filament is a a vortex tube with infinitesimal cross section: the vorticity is a singular measure supported along a curve in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Klein-Majda-Damodaran 95: for N vortex filaments nearly parallel to e_3 parametrized by

$$(x_j(t,\sigma),y_j(t,\sigma),\sigma),$$

of circulation Γ_j , the evolution of $\Psi_j(t,\sigma) = x_j(t,\sigma) + iy_j(t,\sigma)$ is modeled by the 1-D Schrödinger system

$$\left\{i\partial_t \Psi_j + \Gamma_j \partial_\sigma^2 \Psi_j + \sum_{k \neq j} \Gamma_k \frac{\Psi_j - \Psi_k}{|\Psi_j - \Psi_k|^2} = 0, \ 1 \le j \le N \right.$$

In the case of exact parallel filaments, $\Psi_j(t,\sigma) = X_j(t)$, we get the evolution of point vortex system

$$\left\{i\partial_t X_j + \sum_{k\neq j} \Gamma_k \frac{X_j - X_k}{|X_j - X_k|^2} = 0, \ 1 \leq j \leq N \right.$$

Some results on the point vortex system dynamics

- $\Gamma_j > 0$ global existence using conservation laws,
- N=2, global existence since $|X_1(t) X_2(t)|$ is conserved, $(X_1(t), X_2(t))$ rotate or translate,
- N=3 explicit collapse for certain configurations: shrinking turning triangle, Aref 79,
- N=3 vortex points placed at the vertices of an equilateral triangle rotate or translate,
- N=3 vortex placed at the ends and the middle of a segment, $\Gamma_j = \Gamma$, rotate or translate,
- vortex points placed at the $N \ge 4$ vertices of a regular polygon, $\Gamma_j = \Gamma$, rotate,
- also the vertices of regular polygons, with $\Gamma_j = \Gamma$, together with the center of the polygon form a relative equilibrium configuration,
- Kelvin's conjecture 1878: the polygon configuration is stable iff $N \leq 7$, Novikov 75, Kurakin-Yudovich 02.

Results on the nearly parallel vortex filaments

On perturbations of exact parallel filaments, $\Psi_j(t,\sigma) = X_j(t) + u_j(t,\sigma)$:

- Klein-Majda-Damodaran 95: N = 2, the linearized system is stable if $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 > 0$ and unstable if $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 < 0$. Numerical computations on the perturbations suggest global existence in the first case and collision in the second.
- Kenig-Ponce-Vega 03: $\forall N \text{ local existence for any } (X_j(0)) \text{ and small } H^1 \text{ perturbations}$ $(u_j(0)), \text{ existence time } \geq |\log(\Sigma||u_j(0)||_{H^1})|.$ $N = 2 \text{ global existence for any } (X_j(0)), \Gamma_j = \Gamma > 0.$ $N = 3 \text{ global existence for } (X_j(0)) \text{ equilateral triangle, } \Gamma_j = \Gamma > 0.$ The global existence proofs are based on

$$|X_j(t) - X_k(t)| = d, \forall 1 \leq j \neq k \leq N$$

which insures the conservation of the energy $\mathcal{E}(t)$ $\sum \int |\partial_{\sigma} \Psi_j(t,\sigma)|^2 d\sigma + \sum \int -\ln\left(\frac{|\Psi_{jk}(t,\sigma)|^2}{|X_{jk}(t)|^2}\right) + \left(\frac{|\Psi_{jk}(t,\sigma)|^2}{|X_{jk}(t)|^2} - 1\right) d\sigma.$ The solutions satisfy $\frac{3}{4} \leq \frac{|\Psi_j(t,\sigma) - \Psi_k(t,\sigma)|}{|X_j(t) - X_k(t)|} \leq \frac{5}{4}.$

Theorem (B-M 11)

N = 4 global existence for $(X_j(0))$ vertices of a square centered at 0, $\Gamma_j = \Gamma > 0$ and $(\Psi_1 + \Psi_3)(0, \sigma) = (\Psi_2 + \Psi_4)(0, \sigma) = 0 \ \forall \sigma$.

$$\begin{split} N &= 4 \text{ local existence for } (X_j(0)) \text{ vertices of a square, } \Gamma_j = \Gamma > 0, \\ \text{existence time} &\gtrsim \min\{\mathcal{E}(0)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \Sigma \| u_j(0) \|_{L^2}^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{E}(0)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\} \text{ with} \\ \mathcal{E}(0) &\lesssim \Sigma \| u_j(0) \|_{H^1}^2. \\ \text{The solutions satisfy } \frac{3}{4} &\leq \frac{|\Psi_j(t,\sigma) - \Psi_k(t,\sigma)|}{|X_j(t) - X_k(t)|} \leq \frac{5}{4}. \end{split}$$

- the rhombus shape are conserved since $\left(-\Psi_3,-\Psi_4,-\Psi_1,-\Psi_2\right)$ is also solution,
- for global \exists the inertia centrum satisfies $\sum \Psi_j(t,\sigma) = \sum X_j(t) = 0$,
- $\forall T$ there are perturbations on [0, T], with $\mathcal{E}(0) \ll 1 \sim \Sigma \|u_j(0)\|_{H^1}^2$,
- $|X_j(t) X_k(t)|$ conserved, but not the same.

Results on the nearly parallel vortex filaments

Let $(X_j(t))$ be the vertices of a rotating regular polygon of radius 1 (with or without its center). We consider dilation-rotation type perturbations that preserve the polygonal shape $\forall t, \sigma$,

$$\Psi_j(t,\sigma)=X_j(t)\Phi(t,\sigma).$$

Theorem 2 (B-M 11)

• If $\Phi(0) - 1$ is small in H^1 then we have global existence and $\frac{3}{4} \leq \frac{|\Psi_j(t,\sigma) - \Psi_k(t,\sigma)|}{|X_j(t) - X_k(t)|} = |\Phi(t,\sigma)| \leq \frac{5}{4}, \ \Psi_j(t,\sigma) \xrightarrow{|\sigma| \to \infty} X_j(t).$

• If $\mathcal{E}(0) = \frac{1}{2} \int |\partial_{\sigma} \Phi(0)|^2 + \frac{\omega}{2} \int (|\Phi(0)|^2 - 1 - \ln |\Phi(0)|^2)$ is small then we have global existence and $\frac{3}{4} \leq \frac{|\Psi_j(t,\sigma) - \Psi_k(t,\sigma)|}{|X_j(t) - X_k(t)|} \leq \frac{5}{4}$. Moreover, if $\Phi(0,\sigma) \xrightarrow{|\sigma| \to \infty} 1$ then $\Psi_j(t,\sigma) \xrightarrow{|\sigma| \to \infty} X_j(t)$.

Results on the nearly parallel vortex filaments

 $\bullet\,$ Gross-Pitaevskii type dynamics for the perturbation $\Phi\,$

$$i\partial_t \Phi + \partial_\sigma^2 \Phi + \omega \frac{\Phi}{|\Phi|^2} (1 - |\Phi|^2) = 0,$$

with $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{+*}$ the rotating speed of the point vortices,

conservation of the energy

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = rac{1}{2}\int |\partial_\sigma \Phi(t)|^2 + rac{\omega}{2}\int \left(|\Phi(t)|^2 - 1 - \ln|\Phi(t)|^2
ight).$$

- the energy space contains small rotation type perturbations and grey solitons (finite energy travelling waves of G-P),
- existence of travelling waves,
- in progress: collisions,
- for shift type perturbations $\Psi_j(t, \sigma) = X_j(t) + u(t, \sigma)$, linear Schrödinger dynamics.

Proof of Theorem 2

Lemma 1

Energy
$$\mathcal{E}(t)$$
 small enough implies $\||\Phi(t)|^2 - 1\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \frac{1}{4}$.

The function $f(x) = x - 1 - \log x$ is positive and convexe, and vanishes only at x = 1. If $\exists \sigma_0$ such that $|\Phi(t, \sigma_0)| > \sqrt{\frac{5}{4}}$ then $|\Phi(t, \sigma)| \ge |\Phi(t, \sigma_0)| + |\int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma} \partial_x \Phi(t, x) dx| \ge \sqrt{\frac{5}{4}} - \sqrt{2\mathcal{E}(\Phi(t))|\sigma - \sigma_0|},$ and $|\Phi(t, \sigma)| > \sqrt{\frac{9}{8}}$ sur $I = [\sigma_0 - \frac{1}{500 \,\mathcal{E}(t)}, \sigma_0 + \frac{1}{500 \,\mathcal{E}(t)}]$. Finally, $\mathcal{E}(t) \ge \frac{1}{2} f\left(\frac{9}{8}\right) |I| = \frac{1}{1000 \,\mathcal{E}(t)} f\left(\frac{9}{8}\right),$

contradiction for $\mathcal{E}(t)$ small enough. Since $\frac{1}{2}(x-1)^2 \le x-1 - \ln x \le 10(x-1)^2$ on $[\frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{4}]$ we have:

Lemma 2

$$\begin{split} \||\Phi(t)|^2 - 1\|_{L^{\infty}} &\leq \frac{1}{4} \text{ implies the comparaison of the energies:} \\ \mathcal{E}_{GP}(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_{\sigma} \Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\omega}{4} \|\Phi(t)|^2 - 1\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \mathcal{E}(t) \leq 5 \mathcal{E}_{GP}(t). \end{split}$$

Proof of Theorem 2: resolution in $1 + H^1$

Similar arguments for Gross-Pitaevskii in $1 + H^1$ (Béthuel-Saut 99, B-Vega 08) : We first solve locally the Schrödinger-type equation satisfied by $u(t) = \Phi(t) - 1$.

Since $\Phi(0) - 1$ is small in H^1 , Lemma 2 and Gagliardo-Niremberg imply $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(0)$ small. Then, by Lemma 1, the quotient $\frac{1}{|\Phi(t)|^2}$ will remain uniformly bounded.

The existence time will then depend on the H^1 norm of u(t). The \dot{H}^1 norm stays bounded in time by the energy, and the L^2 norm satisfies

$$egin{aligned} &\partial_t \int |u(t)|^2 = \Im \omega \int rac{1+u(t)}{|1+u(t)|^2} (1-|1+u(t)|^2) \overline{u}(t) \ &= \Im \omega \int rac{(1-|1+u(t)|^2) \overline{u}(t)}{|1+u(t)|^2} \leq |\omega| \|1-|\Phi(t)|^2 \|_{L^2} \|u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq |\omega| 2\sqrt{\mathcal{E}} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

so $\|u(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim t$. By re-iterating the local in time argument we get the global existence.

Proof of Theorem 2: resolution in the energy space

Similar arguments for Gross-Pitaevskii in the energy space (Zhidkov 87, Gérard 06) : We solve locally in time by a fixed point argument for the operator

$$\begin{split} A(w)(t) &= \omega \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\partial_\tau^2} \frac{e^{i\tau\partial_\sigma^2} \Phi(0) + w(\tau)}{|e^{i\tau\partial_\sigma^2} \Phi(0) + w(\tau)|^2} \left(1 - |e^{i\tau\partial_\sigma^2} \Phi(0) + w(\tau)|^2\right), \text{ on } \\ \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|w(t)\|_{H^1} \le \epsilon. \end{split}$$

By Lemma 1, $|\Phi(0)| \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$. On the other hand, since the symbol of $e^{it\partial_{\sigma}^2} - 1$ is $\frac{e^{-i\xi^2} - 1}{\xi}\xi$, $\|e^{i\tau\partial_{\sigma}^2}\Phi(0) - \Phi(0)\|_{H^1} \leq C(1+\tau^{\frac{1}{2}})\|\partial_{\sigma}\Phi(0)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+\tau^{\frac{1}{2}})\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}$. By taking ϵ , T small with respect to \mathcal{E} , $\frac{1}{|e^{i\tau\partial_{\sigma}^2}\Phi(0)+w(\tau)|^2}$ will stay uniformly bounded.

We obtain $||A(w)(t)||_{H^1} \leq C(\epsilon)t(C + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}})$, and we deduce the existence of a local solution for ϵ , T small with respect to \mathcal{E} .

By re-iterating the local in time argument we get the global existence.

Proof of Theorem 1: local existence K-P-V

For a a perturbation $u_{j,0}(\sigma) = \Psi_j(0,\sigma) - X_j(0)$ small in $H^1 \exists T^* \in]0,\infty]$ maximal time such that on $[0, T^*[\times \mathbb{R}]$

$$rac{3}{4}|X_j(t)-X_k(t)|<|\Psi_j(t,\sigma)-\Psi_k(t,\sigma)|<rac{5}{4}|X_j(t)-X_k(t)|,$$

so for $T \leq T^*$ the fixed point operator can be bounded by

$$\Sigma \|A(u_j)\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T],H^1)} \leq \Sigma \|u_{j,0}\|_{H^1} + C(|X_{kl}|)T\Sigma \|u_j\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T],H^1)}.$$

For T small enough we obtain on [0, T] a solution (u_j) such that

$$\Sigma \|u_j\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T],H^1)} \leq 2\Sigma \|u_j(0)\|_{H^1}.$$

The solution can be extended -although the H^1 norm might grow- on $[0, T^*]$ with $|\log(\Sigma || u_j(0) ||_{H^1})| \leq T^*$. For showing the global existence it is enough to get, if T^* is supposed finite, the contradiction

$$\frac{3}{4}|X_j(T^*)-X_k(T^*)|<|\Psi_j(T^*,\sigma)-\Psi_k(T^*,\sigma)|<\frac{5}{4}|X_j(T^*)-X_k(T^*)|.$$

Proof of Theorem 1: towards global existence K-P-V

The following quantities are conserved

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{H} &= \sum_{j} \int \left| \partial_{\sigma} \Psi_{j}(t,\sigma) \right|^{2} \, d\sigma - \sum_{j \neq k} \int \ln \left(\frac{|\Psi_{jk}(t,\sigma)|^{2}}{|X_{jk}|^{2}(t)} \right) \, d\sigma, \\ \mathcal{A} &= \sum_{j} \int \left(|\Psi_{j}(t,\sigma)|^{2} - |X_{j}(t)|^{2} \right) \, d\sigma, \\ \mathcal{T} &= \sum_{j \neq k} \int \left(|\Psi_{jk}(t,\sigma)|^{2} - |X_{jk}(t)|^{2} \right) \, d\sigma. \end{split}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{I}(t) = \sum_{j
eq k} \int \left(rac{|\Psi_{jk}(t)|^2}{|X_{jk}(t)|^2} - 1
ight) \, d\sigma.$$

Since $-\ln(x) + (x-1) \ge \frac{1}{2}(x-1)^2$ for $x \in \left[\frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{4}\right]$, on $[0, T^*]$ we have

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{I}(t) \geq rac{1}{2} \sum_{j
eq k} \left\| rac{|\Psi_{jk}(t)|^2}{|X_{jk}(t)|^2} - 1
ight\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_j \|\partial_\sigma \Psi_j(t)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Proof of Theorem 1: towards global existence K-P-V

By Gagliardo-Niremberg, on $[0, T^*]$,

$$\left\|\frac{|\Psi_{jk}(t,\sigma)|^2}{|X_{jk}(t)|^2} - 1\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C\mathcal{E}(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\|\Psi_{jk}(t)\|_{L^{\infty}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{E}(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|X_{jk}(t)|} \leq C\mathcal{E}(t),$$

so if $\mathcal{E}(t)$ stays small enough on $[0, T^*]$ then $|\Psi_{jk}(t, \sigma)|$ is close enough to $|X_{jk}(t)|$ such that

$$\frac{3}{4}|X_j(T^*)-X_k(T^*)|<|\Psi_j(T^*,\sigma)-\Psi_k(T^*,\sigma)|<\frac{5}{4}|X_j(T^*)-X_k(T^*)|,$$

which is the contradiction that implies the global existence.

In the (K-P-V) cases, $|X_{jk}(t)| = d$ so $\mathcal{E}(t) = \frac{T}{d}$ is conserved, and global existence is obtained for small $\mathcal{E}(0)$.

Actually, in the cases of Theorem 2, $\mathcal{E}(t) = \mathcal{E}(\Phi(t))$ is conserved and the global existence in $1 + H^1$ can be obtained also this way.

Proof of Theorem 1

Control of $\mathcal{E}(t)$:

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = -\mathcal{H} + rac{1}{2}\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{A} + rac{1}{2}(\|u_1(t) + u_3(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_2(t) + u_4(t)\|_{L^2}^2).$$

 $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{E}(t)$ conserved and implies global existence for rhombus type perturbations,

 \rightsquigarrow for general perturbations

$$\begin{split} |\mathcal{E}(t)| &\leq |\mathcal{E}(0)| \\ &+ t^2 \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} |\mathcal{E}(\tau)|^{\frac{3}{2}} (\Sigma \| u_j(0) \|_{L^2} + t \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} |\mathcal{E}(\tau)|^{\frac{1}{2}}), \\ \text{so } \mathcal{T}^* &\gtrsim \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\Phi(0))^{\frac{1}{2}} \Sigma \| u_j(0) \|_{L^2}}}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}(0)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right\}. \end{split}$$